1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	SAN JOSE DIVISION
10	Fidelia Orozco, NO. C 04-05001 JW
11	Plaintiff(s), ORDER OF DISMISSAL v.
12	
13	Sherman Acquisition Limited Partnership,
14	Defendant(s).
15	On June 22, 2005, Plaintiff filed an "Acceptance of Defendant Sherman Acquisition Limited
16	Partnership's Rule 68 Offer of Judgment." On September 21, 2005, the Court issued an Order Setting
17	Deadline for Filing Rule 68 Offer and Stipulated Proposed Judgment. The Order directed Plaintiff as
18	follows:
19	Rule 68, Fed.R.Civ.P., requires the parties to file the Rule 68 offer and notice together so that a final judgment may be entered accordingly. Therefore, Plaintiff is hereby ordered to file the offer, notice, and stipulated proposed judgment no later than October 21, 2005. If Plaintiff fails to do so by October 21, 2005, the Court will dismiss the action pursuant to Rule 41(b), Fed.R.Civ.P.
20	
21	
22	Since that time, however, Plaintiff has not filed the offer, notice, and stipulated proposed judgment.
23	Therefore, the Court dismisses the action pursuant to Rule 41(b), Fed.R.Civ.P.
24	Dated: November 14, 2005  /s/James Ware JAMES WARE
25	United States District Judge
26	
27	
28	

## THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT COPIES OF THIS ORDER HAVE BEEN DELIVERED TO:

John Karl Rossman <u>rossmanj@moss-barnett.com</u>
June D. Coleman <u>icoleman@mpbf.com</u>
Mark Ewell Ellis mellis@mpbf.com
Scott Maurer smaurer@scu.edu

Dated: November 15, 2005

Richard W. Wieking, Clerk

By: /s/JW Chambers Ronald L. Davis **Courtroom Deputy**